

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA
BRYSON CITY DIVISION
2:07cv12**

SLOANE JANE HYATT,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
Vs.)	ORDER
)	
THE PRUDENTIAL INSURANCE)	
COMPANY OF AMERICA;)	
AUTOMATIC DATA PROCESSING,)	
INC.; AUTOMATIC DATA)	
PROCESSING, INC. EMPLOYEE)	
WELFARE BENEFIT PLAN; and)	
AUTOMATIC DATA PROCESSING,)	
INC. FLEX 2000 PLAN,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
<hr style="width:40%; margin-left:0"/>)	

THIS MATTER is before the court on a number of now ripe dispositive motions. Before reaching such motions, however, the court has reviewed the docket for compliance with the Local Rules. Local Rule 16.1(A) requires that the parties conduct an Initial Attorneys Conference within 14 days of joinder of the issues. Joinder of the issues occurs under Local Rule 16.1(D) as follows:

(D) Joinder of the Issues. For the limited purpose of these Local Civil Rules, “joinder of the issues” occurs when the final answer to a complaint, third-party complaint, or crossclaim or the final reply to counterclaim has been filed, or the time for doing so has expired.

Rule 12 motions contained in an Answer, but not supported by a brief, act as placeholders and do not prevent joinder of the issues. Where Rule 12 motions are filed and briefed, issues will not join until such motions are resolved by the court, unless otherwise ordered by the Court.

LCvR 16.1(D).¹ In this case, plaintiff included a motion to dismiss in her Reply to defendants' Counterclaim. Docket Entry 18. Inasmuch as such motion was not supported by a contemporaneously filed brief, issues joined at such point, and the parties were required to conduct an Initial Attorneys Conference ("IAC") by October 24, 2007. Plaintiff's filing of a subsequent Motion to Dismiss (#19) and a supporting brief (#20) did not "unjoin" issues. Because the parties have not filed a Certificate of Initial Attorneys Conference, the court has not been able to enter a Pretrial Order; thus, the parties are in violation of the Local Rules at this point.

Presently before the court are two dispositive motions: first, plaintiff's Motion to Dismiss defendants' Counterclaim; and second, defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment as to their Counterclaim.² These motions simply involve the issue of whether defendants may recover in this action alleged overpayments of benefits

¹ LCvR 16.1(D) was not in effect when such Reply was filed; however, this court was operating under a nearly identical Standing Civil Order at that time, which provided for the same result.

² Defendants are advised that docket entry 30 is unreadable and could not be considered in any event.

to plaintiff. While these motions are entirely appropriate,³ they come after joinder of the issues, but before the entry of a Pretrial Order which may have allowed for discovery and would have required mediation. This court is one of limited resources, and the Local Rules are in place to budget those resources. While this court would certainly dispose of a briefed motion to dismiss that was filed before joinder of issues, once issues join the court's clock begins to run for disposition of the case.

What is at issue in the pending motions - - alleged partial overpayment during a covered period - - is a matter that could be resolved by experienced counsel through the process of mediation, and is not at the heart of this action, which is whether plaintiff's LTD benefits were improperly terminated. As it now stands, the second tier issue has completely prevented this court from moving forward on the first tier issue, which is termination of LTD benefits. Had the parties filed the Certificate of Initial Attorneys Conference ("CIAC"), the court could have proceeded as to all issues. Thus far, the parties are 72 days late in filing the CIAC.

The court will, therefore, deny all dispositive motions without prejudice as to refiling,⁴ direct the parties to immediately conduct the IAC, and require the filing of

³ The parties have done an excellent job in briefing the issues.

⁴ The parties may simply refile the materials previously submitted at an appropriate time if they are unable to resolve the issue.

the CIAC not later than January 18, 2008. On January 22, 2008, at 11:00am, the court will convene an Initial Pretrial Conference at which entry of a Pretrial Order will be discussed. Counsel should come prepared to name an appropriate mediator.

ORDER

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that

- (1) the plaintiff's Motion to Dismiss Defendants' Counterclaim (#19) and defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (#29) are **DENIED** without prejudice as to refiling at an appropriate time after the Pretrial Order has been entered but before the dispositive motions deadline therein provided;
- (2) the parties are respectfully instructed to immediately conduct the IAC, and to file with the court the CIAC and a proposed discovery plan not later than January 18, 2008; and
- (3) an Initial Pretrial Conference is calendared for Tuesday, January 22, 2008, at 1100am in Courtroom No. 2 in the United States Courthouse in Asheville, N.C. at which time the parties should be prepared to name a mediator and to discuss the Pretrial Order and Case Management Plan.

Signed: January 10, 2008

Dennis L. Howell

Dennis L. Howell
United States Magistrate Judge

